

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,908	10/10/2003	Thomas Lenosky	15436.270	3079
22913	7590 05/05/2005		INER	
	N NYDEGGER	PASCAL, LESLIE C		
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E GATE TOWER	2633		
SALT LAK	E CITY, UT 84111	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/683,908	LENOSKY, THOMAS			
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie Pascal	2633			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the if the period for reply specified al if NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or of	THIS COMMUNICATION. the under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. sove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 29 Ap	oril 2005.				
2a)☐ This action is FINA	· · ·	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not red	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
a) All b) Some of the solution from Some of the solution of the	t c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents e certified copies of the priori om the International Bureau	have been received in Application ty documents have been received	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (P	TO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/683,908

Art Unit: 2633

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baur et al (6441937).

Baur et al teach a transceiver which detect a signal (6) received over a fiber (4) which includes a reflected signal, maintaining information that corresponds to a transmitted signal (column 4, lines 51-57, characteristic parameters and column 6, lines 47-52; claim 5 stores characteristic parameters), compensating a first portion of the reflected signal (column 4, lines 60-65). Although he does not specifically teach that he is cancel ling the reflected signal, it would have been obvious to subtract (or cancel) the reflected signal, which would have been added in the reflection as a method of compensating for the reflection. He teaches that he compensates for near end reflections (coupler reflections) and far end reflections (column 4, lines 65-column 5, 7).

- 3. Claims 7-24 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the closest prior art of record (Palmer or Baur et al), teach canceling reflection signals. It would not

have been obvious to modify these references to include error correction coding, adjusting the threshold detection level based on the average bias of the second portion of the signal in order to provide the reflection compensation.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Palmer teaches reflection compensation (column 2, lines 55-62).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LESLIE PASCAL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3